## P16. CHEMORESISTANCE OF PANCREATIC TUMORS – A PROTEOME ANALYSIS

R. Faissner<sup>a</sup>, A. Funk<sup>a</sup>, S. Wandschneider<sup>b</sup>, M. Schnölzer<sup>b</sup>, J.-M. Löhr<sup>a</sup>. <sup>a</sup>Department of Medicine II, Mannheim Medical Faculty, University of Heidelberg, Germany; <sup>b</sup>Central Protein Analysis, DKFZ, Heidelberg, Germany.

**Background:** Tumors of the pancreas are characterized by a high potency to develop chemoresistance towards cytostatic drugs, which is the main cause of ineffective treatment. The biological mechanisms of this resistance are still unknown. We used a proteomic approach to analyse protein regulation of pancreatic cell lines treated with cytostatic drugs.

Methods: Three human pancreatic cancer cell lines (PANC-1, Paca44, CAPAN-1) were treated with 5-FU, Gemcitabine and Mafosfamide for 24 h. A 5-FU resistant CAPAN-1 cell line was developed through exposure to increasing concentrations of 5-FU. High-resolution 2D-gels were produced (IEF, SDS-PAGE) and the resulting gels were stained and digitalized. Image analysis was performed (PDQuest) and differentially regulated proteins were excised from the gel, digested, and submitted to mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS). Proteins were identified by Peptide Mass Fingerprint (PMF).

Results: We identified more than 80 cell line protein spots to date. Image analysis showed that more than 10 protein spots are differentially regulated – one of them identified as annexin IV. Work is ongoing to identify all differentially expressed proteins.

**Conclusions:** The proteomic approach is a solid and reproducible method for identifying differentially regulated proteins. Identification of chemoresistance-related proteins will further our understanding of the biological mechanism of chemoresistance and may lead to novel cyctostatic drugs.

doi:10.1016/j.ejcsup.2006.04.076

## P17. EFFECTS OF TUMOR-STROMA INTERACTION ON GLOBAL GENE EXPRESSION IN BREAST CANCER

<u>Martin Buess</u><sup>a</sup>, Dimitry S.A. Nuyten<sup>b</sup>, Trevor Hastie<sup>a</sup>, Patrick O. Brown<sup>a</sup>. <sup>a</sup>Stanford University, Stanford, CA, USA; <sup>b</sup>Netherlands Cancer Institute (D.S.A.N), Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

**Background:** Perturbation in intercellular communication are a key feature of cancer. However, the systematic effects of cell–cell interaction on global gene expression in cancer are largely unexplored.

Methods: We simulated tumor–stroma interaction in vitro by systematically co-cultivating each of seven different breast cancer cell lines with stromal fibroblasts from three different sites, and determined associated gene expression changes with cDNA microarrays. A dataset of pretreatment gene expression profiles from 295 early stage breast cancers (stages 1 and 2) with a follow up of 12.6 years allowed us to evaluate the prognostic significance of the gene expression signatures of specific cell–cell interactions derived from our ex vivo models.

Results: Co-culturing normal human breast epithelial cells and breast cancer cells with stromal fibroblasts revealed multiple

effects on gene expression. The most prominent was an up-regulation of interferon-response genes (IRG), which was detected in about half of the breast cancer co-cultures, but not with normal mammary epithelial cells. In vivo, expression of the IRG was remarkably coherent, providing a basis for segregation of the 295 early-stage breast cancers into two groups. Tumors with high expression levels (n=161) of IRG were associated with significantly shorter overall survival; 59% at 10 years versus 80% at 10 years for tumors with low expression levels (n=134) (log-rank p=0.001).

**Conclusion:** This suggests that an interaction between some breast cancer cells and stromal fibroblasts can induce an interferon response, and that this response may be associated with a greater propensity for tumor progression.

doi:10.1016/j.ejcsup.2006.04.077

## P18. QUANTITATIVE MULTIGENE EXPRESSION PROFILING OF PRIMARY PROSTATE CANGER

<u>U. Schmidt</u><sup>a</sup>, S. Fuessel<sup>a</sup>, R. Koch<sup>b</sup>, G.B. Baretton<sup>c</sup>, M. Froehner<sup>a</sup>, M.P. Wirth<sup>a</sup>, A. Meye<sup>a</sup>. <sup>a</sup>Department of Urology, Technical University Dresden, Germany; <sup>b</sup>Institute of Medical Informatics and Biometry, Technical University Dresden, Germany; <sup>c</sup>Institute of Pathology, Technical University Dresden, Germany.

Background: This study describes the evaluation of the expression pattern of prostate-specific transcripts in 106 matched prostate tissues as predictors for prostate cancer (PCa). RNA was prepared from cryo-preserved paired malignant and non-malignant prostate specimens, which had been removed during radical prostatectomy and examined by a trained pathologist.

**Methods:** Quantitative PCR (QPCR) assays with site-specific hybridization probes were established for four housekeeping genes and nine prostate-specific genes (AibZIP, DD3/PCA3, D-GPCR, EZH2, PDEF, prostein, PSA, PSCA, TRPM8). In the analyzed patient cohort, statistical differences for the commonly used housekeeping genes GAPDH (p = 0.038), HPRT (p = 0.036) and PBGD (p = 0.00003) were observed.

Results: The only housekeeping gene being not differentially expressed between malignant and non-malignant prostate tissues was TBP (p=0.531). Therefore, all expression was normalized to TBP. The logarithmized relative mRNA expression of AibZIP, DD3/PCA3, D-GPCR, EZH2, PDEF (all p<0.001), prostein (p=0.019), PSA (p<0.001) and TRPM8 (p<0.001) were significantly higher in malignant vs. non-malignant prostate tissues. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curves were generated, and their areas under the curve (AUC) were calculated for all single parameters. DD3/PCA3 is the marker with the highest AUC (0.85), i.e. the best single tumor marker. A logit model was developed which employs the logarithmized relative expression levels of DD3/PCA3, EZH2, prostein and TRPM8 and yields an AUC of 0.90.

**Conclusion:** It can be concluded that DD3/PCA3 is a powerful predictor of PCa but the addition of EZH2, prostein and TRPM8 adds even more to the predictive power.

doi:10.1016/j.ejcsup.2006.04.078